

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/707,311	12/04	/2003	Ed	Stengel	 16155-US	1310
	23553 MADKG 8 CI	7590	10/15/2007			EXAM	INER
	MARKS & CL P.O. BOX 957	EKK				TRINH, THA	ANH TRUC
	STATION B	171D 507		*		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OTTAWA, ON CANADA	1K1P 38/				1795	
						MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
						10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/707,311	STENGEL, ED				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Thanh-Truc Trinh	1795				

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) In the period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing</u> date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	

Application No. 10/707,311

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As amended in claim 14, the new limitation "wherein the inclined solar electricity-producing panel is angled upwardly at an angle of about 45 degrees" raises new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Sooferian does not teach the recitations of power supply in the form of a natural-looking garden element that generates power via a solar panel and outputs the power through a DC outlet. As seen in col. 2 lines 57-63, Sooferian teaches the stepping stone made of concrete, stone peples, therefore the stepping stone of Sooferian is in the form of natural-looking garden. Sooferian also describes a DC outlet (line 108 and 110) from one stepping stone is used to power another stepping stone as seen in Figure 9 and col. 8 lines 13-19. Therefore, the reference to Sooferian does teach "outputs through a DC outlet". Applicant further argues the references do not teach an inclined solar panel angled at 45 degrees and the combination of Hilton et al. and Cirrito can not be used in an obvious rejection since Hilton et al. specifically and clearly teaches away from the recitation of angling the solar panel. As seen in Figures 16 and 17 of Hilton et al., the solar panel 20 is curved as disposed on the surface of container 40 and part of solar panel 20 is angled, therefore Hilton et al. do not teach away the recitation of angling the solar panel and the combination of Hilton et al. and Cirrito in an obvious rejection is proper.

NAM NGUYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 17:00